

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/736,051	KE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patricia Leith	1655	

All Participants:

(1) Patricia Leith.

(2) John Wichtowski.

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: approx 2:pm EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

3

Prior art documents discussed:

Cheng et al. (IDS doc)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Wichtowski asserted that the IDS submitted on 11/2/06 was submitted properly and should be considered. Agreed with Mr. Wichtowski that the IDS would be considered and signed. Upon consideration of the reference, it was agreed that the Cheng et al. reference anticipated claim 1 due to the disclosure of the compound including tamoxifen and prostaglandin. Mr. Wichtowski agreed to the cancellation of tamoxifen and its derivative 4-hydroxy-tamoxifen from the claims in order to proceed to allowance..